



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/765,044	01/19/2001	Chyi-Tsong Ni	TS00-355	6921

7590 05/23/2002

GEORGE O. SAILE
20 MCINTOSH DRIVE
POUGHKEEPSIE, NY 12603


EXAMINER

BREWSTER, WILLIAM M

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	2823

DATE MAILED: 05/23/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

AIA

Office Action Summary	Application 09/765,044	Applicant(s) NI ET AL.	
	Examiner William M. Brewster	Art Unit 2823	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 April 2001.
- 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-28 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

- 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.

If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.

- 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) All b) Some * c) None of:
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
- a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| <p>1)<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</p> <p>2)<input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</p> <p>3)<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>2</u>.</p> | <p>4)<input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____.</p> <p>5)<input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)</p> <p>6)<input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____.</p> |
|--|---|

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 2, 8-11, 17-20, 26-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Morozumi et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,194,304 B1 in view of Ngo, U.S. Patent No. 6,054,735.

Morozumi teaches placing a semiconductor wafer on the wafer chuck/heater within the CVD chamber; in fig. 1C, the semiconductor wafer 12 including an upper second PECVD silicon oxide film 20, with TEOS, which may coat the inner walls, of thickness of from about 1000 Å to about 2000 Å, preheating the chamber from about 300° C to about 500° C, using PE SiH₄, col. 6, line 62 - col. 7, line 4, having a second thermal CVD oxide deposition rate, and depositing a porous silicon oxide film 24 upon the upper second PECVD silicon oxide film overlying the semiconductor wafer, thickness of about between 1000 Å to about 6000 Å, at a temperature of 300° C to 450° C, of a density 2 to 6 wt.%, col. 7, line 51 - col. 8, line 16; the porous silicon oxide film being different from the first PECVD silicon oxide film coating the CVD chamber inner walls.

Morozumi does not teach a precoat step, but Ngo does. Ngo teaches a method, in fig. 3, of pre-coating 302 at least a portion of the CVD chamber inner walls with a layer of first PECVD silicon oxide film having a first thermal CVD oxide deposition rate thereupon, col. 2, lines 36 - 52. Ngo gives motivation in col. 1, lines 31-33. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to recognize that combining Ngo's process with Morozumi's invention would have been beneficial because it facilitates producing a high quality, uniform and very thin PECVD oxide layer.

Claims 3 -7, 12-16, 21-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Morozumi in view of Ngo as applied to claims 1, 2, 8-11, 17-20, 26-28 above, and further in view of Tao, U.S. Patent No. 5,904,566.

Neither Morozumi nor Ngo teach using TEOS in the porous oxide, but Tao does. Tao teaches in fig. 2, forming a semiconductor substrate 30, and forming an oxide layer 42 with O₃ at about 300-500° C, with flow rate of from about 4000 to about 6000 sccm, and TEOS with a concentration of about 200 to about 400 mg/cm³ in a carrier gas flow rate from about 2000 to about 3000 sccm. Tao gives motivation in col. 2, lines 13-56. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to recognize that combining Tao's process with Morozumi and Ngo's invention would have been beneficial because it aids in forming vias though the oxide layers that have flat, instead of rounded bottoms.

The thickness of the first chamber covering oxide, the flow rate of the TEOS or the time, and the density of the porous oxide, may be optimized.

Note that the specification contains no disclosure of either the critical nature of the claimed dimensions of any unexpected results arising there from. Where patentability is aid to be based upon particular chosen dimensions or upon another variable recited in a claim, the Applicant must show that the chosen dimensions are critical. In re Woodruff, 919 F.2d 1575, 1578, 16 USPQ2d 1934, 1936 (Fed. Cir. 1990).

"Normally, it is to be expected that a change in temperature, or in concentration, or in both, would be an unpatentable modification. Under some circumstances, however, changes such as these may impart patentability to a process if the particular ranges claimed produce a new and unexpected result which is different in kind and not merely degree from the results of the prior art . . . such ranges are termed 'critical ranges' and the applicant has the burden of proving such criticality . . . More particularly, where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, it is not inventive to discover the optimum or workable ranges by routine experimentation."

In re Aller 105 USPQ 233, 255 (CCPA 1955). See also In re Waite 77 USPQ 586 (CCPA 1948); In re Scherl 70 USPQ 204 (CCPA 1946); In re Irmscher 66 USPQ 314 (CCPA 1945); In re Norman 66 USPQ 308 (CCPA 1945); In re Swenson 56 USPQ 372 (CCPA 1942); In re Sola 25 USPQ 433 (CCPA 1935); In re Dreyfus 24 USPQ 52 (CCPA 1934).

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Lee et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,348,415 B1 provides a method for forming a porous oxide form O₃ and TEOS, col. 3, lines 21 - 50.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William M. Brewster whose telephone number is 703-305-5906. The examiner can normally be reached on Full Time.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wael Fahmy can be reached on 703-308-4918. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3432 for regular communications and 703-305-3432 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.



Trung Dang
Primary Examiner

WB
May 15, 2002